

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,250	10/10/2003	Hitoshi Akamine	H-1116	1001
24956 7	590 01/11/2005		EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.			NGUYEN, KHANH V	
1800 DIAGON SUITE 370	1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370			PAPER NUMBER
` ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		2817	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/682,250	AKAMINE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Khanh V. Nguyen	2817			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frow the cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2003.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	, 				
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objecte re drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So rection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail (
 Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/10/03</u>. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in JAPAN on October 18, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the JAPAN application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. Applicant needs to replace "capacitative" in the specification with --capacitive-- since there is no such word found in dictionary.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because they fail to disclose all the features claimed in independent and dependent claims combined. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement

Art Unit: 2817

sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-3, 6-9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1-4, 14, 15, the word "capacitative" in these claims should correctly be replaced with --capacitive--.

Claim 3, line 4, "the same" should correctly be --a same--.

Claim 6, line 8, "on the basis of" should be replaced with --according with--.

Claim 7, line 6, "said transistor" should correctly be --said field effect transistor--.

Claims 8 and 9, "wherein in said power amplification circuit, a plurality of transistors are cascaded," should be read as --wherein said power amplification circuit having a plurality of transistors connected in cascade,--

Claims 8 and 9, "the final stage" should correctly be --a final stage--Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2817

Claims 1, 2, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, it is unclear what is meant by "the transmission source". Claim 2 recites the limitation "the drain" in line 3 and "said transistor" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6, it is unclear which "bias generating circuit" claimed has a comparing circuit. It is noted the disclosed drawings show that "bias generating circuit" and "comparing circuit" are two completely different elements and functions (see Figure 7). It is also noted that none of the drawings show the "high frequency power amplification electric part" having the all the features claims 1 and 6 combined.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 1-16 call for, among others, a capacitive element (C3) having the connections and functions thereof.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references (Ueno et al. (6,172,567); Fujioka et al. (6,492,872); Matsushita et al. (6,605,999)) having biasing means.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,250 Page 5

Art Unit: 2817

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1767. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 AM - 3:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHANH V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Khauh Pankguyer _